AGENDA REGULAR DRAINAGE MEETING

Wednesday, January 12, 2022, 9:30 A.M.

This meeting will be held electronically and in-person.

To access the meeting call: 1-(312)-626-6799, when prompted enter meeting ID code: 820 7567 2007

You can also access the meeting online at:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82075672007

- 1. Open Meeting
- 2. Approve Agenda
- 3. Approve Minutes

Documents:

12-15-2021 DRAINAGE MINUTES.PDF

4. Approve Claims For Payment

Documents:

PAYABLES-DRAINAGE PUBLICATION 01-07-22.PDF PAYABLES-DRAINAGE PUBLICATION 1-14-22.PDF

5. DD 128 WO 279 - Discuss W Possible Action - Change Order #5

Documents:

DD 128- WO 279 CHANGE ORDER 5 - CONTRACTOR AND ENGINEER SIGNED.PDF

6. DD 128 WO 279 - Discuss W Possible Action - Completion Letter

Documents:

DD 128- WO 279 HANDS ON COMPLETION LETTER (WITH SIGNED PAY ESTIMATE 7).PDF

- 7. DD 102 Discuss W Possible Action Landowner Request For Update On The Status Of Reclassification
- 8. Discuss W Possible Action \$5,000 Drainage Minimum Repairs Authorization

- 9. Discuss W Possible Action New Work Order Requests
- 10. Other Business
- 11. Adjourn Meeting

REGULAR DRAINAGE MEETING Wednesday December 15, 2021, 9:30 A.M. This meeting was held electronically and in-person.

12/15/2021 - Minutes

1. Open Meeting

Hardin County Chairperson BJ Hoffman opened the meeting. Also present were Trustee Renee McClellan; Trustee Lance Granzow; Lee Gallentine of Clapsaddle-Garber Associates (CGA); Mike Pearce, Network Specialist; and Michelle Kuechenberg, Drainage Clerk.

2. Approve Agenda

Motion by Granzow to approve the agenda. Second by McClellan. All ayes. Motion carried

3. Approve Minutes

Motion by McClellan to approve the minutes of Regular Drainage Meeting dated 11/17/21, 11/24/21, and 12/08/21. Second by Granzow. All ayes. Motion carried.

4. Approve Claims For Payment

DD 121 W0 295- Communication W/ Contractor Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc \$ 602.50

Motion by Granzow to approve the claims for payment of \$602.50 with a pay date of Friday, December 17, 2021. Second by McClellan. All ayes. Motion carried.

5. DD 56 WO 3 - Discuss W Possible Action - Change Order #1

Kuechenberg stated that she added a copy of the Change Order to the agenda, and another one for the Board of Trustees to review and sign after the meeting. Granzow asked what we were changing. Gallentine stated that this what we talked about last week at the DD 56 Landowner Meeting. Gallentine stated they removed the televising and compaction on the tile from the contract and added the price increase that Gehrke requested. Gallentine stated, in addition, they also changed the intake in the road ditch from 12 inch to 36 inch. Gallentine stated that he requested 24, Gehrke thought 36 would be better. Gallentine stated, after all of that, there was an increase to the contract of \$49,626.40. Granzow stated, "an increase?" Gallentine stated that was correct. McClellan stated the increase was \$49,000 and what? Gallentine stated the increase was \$49,626.40. Granzow stated that we took out \$50,000, and we increased the contract by \$49,000? Hoffman stated that the contract was increased by almost \$100,000. Granzow stated and out of that \$100,000 increase, we took out \$50,000. Gallentine stated that was correct. Granzow asked if it was \$100,000 to change the intake? Gallentine stated, no, this also reflects the change in material, fuel, and labor cost that we talked about at the DD 56 meeting last week. Hoffman stated comprehensive changes. Hoffman asked how long they have to act on the Change Order. Gallentine stated, the sooner the better so Jeremy can get the material ordered. Hoffman stated the reason why he was asking is because he would like to send this Change Order out to the landowners in DD 56 and get their input. Hoffman added, if we need to, we can have a guick Drainage Meeting to address their concerns. McClellan stated that she thought they voted on everything at the last meeting. Granzow stated he thought it was already voted on too. Hoffman stated that was fine as long as the landowners were all good with the changes. Granzow stated that this was just the finalizing of the paperwork. Hoffman stated that sounds good.

Motion by McClellan to accept Change Order #1. Second by Granzow. All ayes. Motion carried.

6. Discuss W Possible Action - IDDA Recap

Kuechenberg stated that she and the other Drainage Clerks that attended the IDDA conference went over new sections in Iowa Drainage Code with IDDA's Lawyer Doug Struyk. Kuechenberg stated the first one she would like to discuss is Iowa Code 468.45 - Notice of hearing. Kuechenberg stated that this section in the code is referring to reclassification. Kuechenberg stated that according to code 468.45 you can publish notice in the hearing that individuals can save money for districts and post a statement of the amount of the assessment of costs online on the county's internet site and in the Auditor's office. Kuechenberg stated that she believes the notice of hearing,

according to code, has to be delivered to landowners 20 days prior to the hearing date. McClellan asked if we should send out a post card to every landowner informing them of the updates. Hoffman stated that a post card may be cheaper than a publication notice. Hoffman stated that not everyone reads the paper. Gallentine stated that he thinks what really brought this on, there was some counties, when they would publish, they would publish the entire reclassification report or schedule. Gallentine stated that what this clarifies is that you do not have to do that. Gallentine stated that he does not think Hardin County ever did that to start with, but other counties would publish the entire schedule. Kuechenberg stated that must have been really expensive. Gallentine stated that the publication cost was thousands of dollars. Granzow stated that he would still rather have a postcard sent to every landowner. Hoffman stated that we would just let them know where they could find it, and in what section of code they can read the new language. Hoffman asked Kuechenberg if she is proposing they take action and update our policy today. Kuechenberg stated that she just wanted to inform the Trustees of these updates so they can make an informed decision going forward. Hoffman stated that he thinks we should have a policy that states we're going to post all reclassifications per this code section, and we will do a post card mailing in lieu of any newsprint publication. Granzow stated that if you put it in a policy, we will attempt to send a post card every time. Granzow added that if you have a small district that only has 7 people in the district, we probably will not send a post card every time. Granzow stated that can be discussed at each meeting. Hoffman stated that he is going to be the eternal optimist here, Kuechenberg is going to be here for the next 30 years doing this job and so he doesn't think she will forget to do that. Hoffman stated that the policy is a good reminder. McClellan stated that we don't always have a very good turnout for some of these things, how will they know to look online? Granzow stated that he likes the postcard idea. Granzow stated that we can always change that per meeting. McClellan stated that we should just state that we may send post card notifications. McClellan stated that is better than requiring it. Hoffman stated we could note in the policy that it can be at the Trustees discretion. Kuechenberg stated that this is just to publish in the notice of the hearing. Kuechenberg stated she thought everyone would get a post card regardless. Kuechenberg stated in that notice, we could inform the landowners that it will be published online or in the Auditor's office. Kuechenberg stated that if the landowners request an additional copy, we could certainly provide that to them as the code states. Hoffman stated that sounds good. Hoffman stated there would be no need for action today.

Kuechenberg stated the second one they were talking about in detail was lowa Code 468.17A - Unofficial notice by electronic mail. Kuechenberg stated this section of code is completely new this year. Kuechenberg stated that this section of code states that the county can save money by sending email. Kuechenberg stated that all electronic email addresses retained by the board are confidential records under section 22.7. Kuechenberg stated that when she would send those emails out, she would be sure to blind copy everyone using the BCC function. Kuechenberg stated she's not sure if everyone with joint ownership of the property would have to sign an Affidavit or just the person requesting correspondence through email. Hoffman stated that he's not sure this is even practical. Hoffman stated that if they request it we could send it to them in the email, otherwise, our people are not really email friendly. McClellan stated that a majority of the drainage people will not want their assessments in the email. Hoffman stated that he would like to believe that more people would like this option. Hoffman stated but I think even Kuechenberg has probably learned in her short time here that people will want a hard copy. McClellan stated that she is one of those. Hoffman stated that a lot of people like a hard copy of things so they can add it to their files and pass it down with their land if it's staying in the family. Hoffman stated that the electronic is fine for those who would want it but they can request it. McClellan agreed. McClellan stated it would also be more work for Kuechenberg keeping track of who wants the hard copies and who wants the email copies. Kuechenberg stated that would probably be something that we could bring up at the hearing, however, not everyone shows up to those hearings. Granzow stated, or they could call and request to, but otherwise how do you find an email address that's not yours to find. McClellan stated that she checks her work email all of the time but her personal email she doesn't look at every day, people could be missing important information. Gallentine stated that looking at the way 468.17A is worded, it says that the person entitled to receive the notice may request the board responsible providing notice through email so it's really the landowner initiates and not the board. McClellan stated ok, so it's not that you would do that for everyone. McClellan stated which once again is more for Kuechenberg to keep track of. Gallentine stated once they say that all of the sudden those emails are considered confidential, and she can't disclose them and has to worry about security and all of that other stuff. Hoffman stated that's why we have a Network Engineer.

- 7. Discuss W Possible Action New Work Order Requests
- 8. Other Business

DD 121 - Gallentine stated that he just wanted to give the Trustees an update on Adam Seward in DD 121. Gallentine stated Adam is still plugging away, he's got 100-150 feet left roughly. Gallentine stated that he's hoping to get that wrapped up this week depending on what this afternoon looks like. Hoffman stated he told one of his

workers to watch the pendulum on laying pipe this afternoon. Gallentine stated that it sounds like there will be a time where it will not be fun or productive.

Kuechenberg stated that she did reach out to Calhoun County Drainage Clerk. Kuechenberg stated Leah, the Drainage Clerk, told her Calhoun County does not charge anything to their utility companies for crossing over the tiles. Kuechenberg stated they have a Drainage Engineer that reviews and approves their permit applications. Kuechenberg stated their Drainage Engineer charges a flat rate of \$120 an hour to the utility companies for reviewing the applications. Kuechenberg stated, according to Leah, the last billing they had for a utility company was \$360. Kuechenberg stated they updated their policies a couple of years ago. Granzow stated that sounds easy. Gallentine stated it does, until the utility company does not want to pay. Hoffman stated he does not think Gallentine wanted to be in the collections business.

Kuechenberg stated that she had one more thing to discuss in other business. Kuechenberg stated this was another area of the code that was discussed at the IDDA conference. Kuechenberg stated this section of the code. 468.521-468.539 Elections, has not changed, it was brought up because private district elections are coming up shortly. Kuechenberg stated that one of the Drainage Clerk's mentioned that she travels to each polling location the day of the private Trustee drainage elections to help them get going. Kuechenberg stated that lead to a discussion about what the County employed Drainage Clerk's responsibilities are when it comes to private districts. Kuechenberg stated the other Clerks said they do not have much to do with their private districts. Kuechenberg stated she asked what they meant by that. Kuechenberg stated they do not set agenda's, write minutes, or do much of anything outside of processing payments and creating election packets for them. Kuechenberg stated that Doug Struyk, IDDA's Lawyer, pointed out section 468.521 in Iowa code - Elections, it states that: "the clerk of the board shall act as one of the clerks and an owner of the land in the district shall be appointed by the board to act as another clerk." Hoffman stated that he thinks Kuechenberg needs to have her hand in there because at the end of the day she is responsible for the districts. Hoffman stated that Kuechenberg should act in her own best interest to protect herself and her position. Granzow stated that he thought the Drainage Clerk's time gets charged to them. Kuechenberg stated Struyk told them the same thing, if the Drainage Clerks are doing more and acting as the Clerk for private districts then the Clerk's time should be compensated by the district. McClellan asked if they were paying for the time or benefits too? Granzow stated let's say her salary is \$20 an hour after benefits it is \$30 an hour plus mileage. Hoffman stated that would be from the time you leave here to the time you go home. Granzow stated he is probably really low on this number, it is probably closer to \$70,000 with IPERS, FICA, Health Insurance, Life Insurance. Granzow stated when you put the whole package together it is probably closer to \$70,000. Hoffman stated probably \$50,000. Granzow stated it is well over a \$50,000 dollar position. Hoffman stated that Kuechenberg can act accordingly and maybe draw something up that this is how it will run, not how it could run. Hoffman stated he would put it right out there how Kuechenberg wants it to run. Kuechenberg stated that our process for elections is similar to that of other counties. Kuechenberg stated that we send out packets. Kuechenberg stated that is typical of every Drainage Clerk, she was referring to how she attends meetings and writes the minutes for some of her private districts. McClellan asked if she attends the meetings. Kuechenberg stated she has attended a few of the private district meetings in her short time working as the Clerk. Granzow stated that she has to attend ours too. Kuechenberg stated according to the Struyk, Drainage Clerks employed by the counties only have to be the Clerk for the County Board of Trustees. Gallentine stated that he does not think that is how it has been historically, that is for sure. Kuechenberg stated that there was about 20 Drainage Clerks in the conference room with her and they had all said they did not perform Clerk responsibilities for private districts. Granzow asked who the keeper of the minutes and their records. Kuechenberg stated the other Clerks told her the private Trustees take their own minutes and hand them in so the Clerk can add them to the file. Kuechenberg stated that Smith, told her that some of our districts have done that in the past. Granzow stated that he would be weary for them and us. Granzow stated the reason why he says that, what if they're trying to do things without giving notice according to code. Granzow stated that you also keep them out of trouble as well. Gallentine stated that a lot of counties don't have near as many private Trustee districts as we do. Gallentine stated that may be part of the lack of participation of the Clerk too. McClellan stated that she has a question about 468.521. McClellan read: "the clerk of the board shall act as one of the clerks and an owner of land in the district shall be appointed by the board to act as another clerk". McClellan stated, however, in number one: "after the first election of Trustees, the Board of Trustees shall act as judge of election; however, a Trustee standing for election shall not serve as a judge." McClellan stated that she is talking about the board (them), and the clerk of their Board of Trustees. McClellan stated that it could be anyone, why would it have to be Kuechenberg. McClellan stated that she is not taking this as she needs to participate in this stuff for private districts. Hoffman stated not as their secretary but a clerk during the election. Hoffman stated that this is only pertaining to the election. Kuechenberg stated if you flip the page to the next page in the handout, at the top in section 468.525 - Organization states that: as soon as the Trustees have qualified, they shall organize by electing one of their own numbers as chairperson and may select some other competent person as clerk of the board who shall serve during the pleasure of the Board of Trustees. McClellan stated correct. McClellan stated that she thinks the code is talking how they elect their own clerk. McClellan stated that she doesn't think number two is referring Kuechenberg and our Board of Trustees. McClellan stated that she thinks private districts are supposed to appoint their own. McClellan stated she does not think it is saying that Kuechenberg has to do any of that, she thinks it refers to their new Board of Trustees. McClellan stated that after they elect their new Board of Trustees, then their board shall act as judges.

Granzow stated that he would be willing to bet they're willing to pay the bill to have her do it though. McClellan stated that she knows but right now it is not clear that is something Kuechenberg needs to do. Hoffman stated that District 3 is pretty good at maintaining quality records. McClellan asked how many of them do we have though. Kuechenberg stated there is about 16 private districts, Granzow stated that right here it says that: "the Trustees shall from time to time, and with reasonable promptness, furnish the Auditor of each county in which any part of said district is situated, with a correct report of their acts and proceedings, which reports shall be signed by the chairperson and the clerk of the board and shall be recorded by the Auditor in the drainage record, and shall be published in one official paper in the county having a general circulation in the district". Granzow stated that everything McClellan is saying is correct. McClellan stated the code is not talking about us board, code is talking about their own individual Board of Trustees. Granzow stated that they can appoint whatever clerk they want, it is their responsibility to get the records to us so they can be published in the paper. McClellan asked Kuechenberg if she said some of the County Drainage Clerks attend the elections for private districts. Kuechenberg stated that is correct. Kuechenberg stated that is what started this whole conversation at the conference. Kuechenberg stated that according to the IDDA lawyer, Doug Struyk, County Drainage Clerks do not have to do much for private districts unless their hours are being compensated by the district because it was their choice to go private. Kuechenberg stated that Struyk pointed out Iowa Code 468.521 and then went on from there. McClellan stated it took her a while to see that but as she was reading through that section in the code she agreed. Granzow stated he was always told the opposite. Granzow stated that he was fine with this, but he doesn't have a problem putting a policy together of what her dollar amount is to appoint her as Clerk of their Board. McClellan asked if we would even need to have a policy. McClellan stated it's all right there in Iowa Code. Granzow stated that there is some of the districts that would be more than happy to have Kuechenberg as their Clerk. Granzow asked what the big district was with the open ditch. Gallentine and Kuechenberg asked if he meant DD 3. Kuechenberg stated she thinks we do a lot with DD 3 and DD 67. Granzow stated that they have their meetings in the Courthouse for that reason. Gallentine stated he thinks DD 3 would be too. McClellan stated then we would need to come up with an hourly rate. McClellan asked how many private districts we had. Kuechenberg stated we had about 16 but most of them we do not do much for anyways. Kuechenberg stated they do their own minutes and send them in. Kuechenberg stated last week she took a call from a landowner about a repair that needs to be done in one of the private districts, she reached out to the Trustee and informed him of that repair. Kuechenberg stated that he hired a company to fix it and will send in a signed voucher with the invoice when the repair is complete so she can bill the district. McClellan stated that she does not remember Jane doing any of that for private districts, but she could be wrong. Granzow asked what the last district was that pulled away from them because they were upset with the board. Gallentine stated it was DD 148. Granzow stated he doubts they would want Kuechenberg as their Clerk, but he is speculating. Granzow stated that they would probably rather do the clerical work themselves than pay to have Kuechenberg be their Clerk, Granzow stated that he could see it go both ways. McClellan stated that maybe she was the only one that was reading this wrong, but as she reads it, she realized they were not talking about them as the Board. Hoffman and Granzow stated they thought McClellan was right. McClellan stated that as long as Kuechenberg's salary and benefits are being compensated while she is acting as their clerk she doesn't mind. Granzow asked Kuechenberg if she gets paid. Kuechenberg stated that as far as she knows, her salary and benefits are only being paid out of the Auditor budget. Kuechenberg stated that she does not clock her hours when she is with private districts because she has never been told to. Kuechenberg stated she asked Smith, the previous Drainage Clerk, and she was not aware of this section in the code. Hoffman instructed Kuechenberg to draft a letter from him to all of the Drainage Districts with lowa Code in it, along with a fee schedule and give them a couple of weeks to decide if they're going to use us or our services. McClellan stated that she would just send it to the ones that are using her as the Clerk. Granzow stated he would send it to all of them. Hoffman stated if Kuechenberg wants to bring that to him when she is finished with it to have the Board authorize him to send it to all of the private districts, he thinks that would be a good idea. Granzow asked how much time we had before the next election. Kuechenberg stated the next election was January 15th. Hoffman stated we should also add in the letter what we still would provide and what it would cost. McClellan stated she thinks with the packets, she doesn't know exactly what is in them, but it takes Kuechenberg's time to get them ready and make copies. Kuechenberg stated that the packets have ballets, publication listing, classification reports, poll books, and a few other reports in them. Kuechenberg stated that they're charged for the postage of the packets and the publication that goes out in the newspaper. Hoffman stated that he would offer a drainage election education thing detailing how they have to run. Granzow stated that we should point out the duties of the Clerk and what their responsibilities are as Trustees. Granzow stated and if they would like to utilize the Drainage Clerk these are the costs of doing so. Kuechenberg asked the Trustees if they wanted her to send this along to Darrell to look over as well. Hoffman stated that she could. McClellan stated we shouldn't need to if an Attorney there informed the Clerks of these sections of the code. Kuechenberg stated that is correct, Doug was with us explaining all of this. Hoffman stated that if Kuechenberg wants to send this over to Darrell for him to review to verify what their beliefs are that is fine. Granzow stated that it is the opposite of what the Board of Trustees thought they were. Granzow asked if Kuechenberg would need this in a motion. Hoffman stated that he does not think it is necessary because the board is just directing her to draft a letter to the private districts. Hoffman asked Gallentine if one of the Drainage Districts calls him in for consultation then he would bill them, right? Gallentine stated he does.

Granzow stated he had other business. Granzow stated that he just wanted to thank Kuechenberg for what she does and how she brings the information to them. Hoffman stated she takes the bull by the horns. Kuechenberg

thanked the Trustees.

9. Adjourn Meeting

Motion by McClellan to adjourn. Second by Granzow. All ayes. Motion carried.



DD 14- Draft Of Engineering Report	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	1,771.40
DD 56-Prep&Attendance Of Hearing/Change Order	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	1,932.00
DD 56 - Work Related ROW Aquisition 44719	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	471.97
DD 121- Construction Survey and Observation	Clapsaddle-Garber Assoc	10,702.15

Total Regular Payables: 0.00
Total Stamped Warrants: 14,877.52

1/3/2022 11:11:24 AM Page 1 of 1



Description	Vendor	Amount
DD 118-232 Payment To Other Gov. Assessment	Hamilton Co Auditor	73.35
DD Big 4 Main 2021 Post Drainage Assessments	Franklin Co Auditor	11.11

Total Regular Payables: 84.46

Total Stamped Warrants: 0.00

1/10/2022 10:51:56 AM Page 1 of 1

Change Order

No. <u>5</u>

Project: Drainage District Repairs Owner: Drainage Trustees	ge District	Owner's Contract No.:	
Contract:		Date of Contract: May 2	2, 2018
Contractor: Hands On Excavating		Engineer's Project No.:6	5771.2
The Contract Documents are modified as follow	ws upon executio	n of this Change Order:	
Description: For the DD 128 portion of this project, the l	andowner indicated t	he bottom of the regraded char	nnel was saturated and
would not dry out. It was decided that a private 6" single waregraded channel to lower the groundwater level.	all tile with a tile head	der at the upstream end could b	be installed for the length
Attachments (list documents supporting change	e): E-mail from Con	tractor with pricing, project de	tails, and map.
CHANGE IN CONTRACT PRICE:	CHA	ANGE IN CONTRACT	TIMES:
Original Contract Price:	Original Cont	tract Times:	☐ Calendar day
\$149,516.85	Substantial	completion (date): 11-30	-2018
Increase from previously approved Change Order No. $\underline{1}$ to No. $\underline{4}$:	Increase from No. 1 to No. 5	previously approved Chat:	ange Order
\$ 30,911.50		Big 4 Substantial completion (a	
Contract Price prior to this Change Order:	DD 128 & 1	es prior to this Change O Big 4 Substantial complet	tion (date): 12-1-2019
\$180,428.35	Remainder	Substantial completion (c	late): 6-1-2019
Increase of this Change Order:	Increase of th	is Change Order:	
\$ 5,627.47	DD 128 Subs	atial completion (date): 12-1-20 tantial completion (date): 12-1 abstantial completion (date): 6	-2021
Contract Price incorporating this Change Order:	Big 4 Substar	es with all approved Charatial completion (date): 12-1-20	019
\$186,055.82		tantial completion (date): 12-1 ubstantial completion (date): 6	
RECOMMENDED: ACCEPT	TED:	ACCEPTE	D: //
By: UCHACKAN By:		By: Jacobi	Mondroken
	ner (Authorized Sign		tor (Authorized Signature)
Date: Jan 6 2 0 2 2 Date:		Date: <u>/- @</u>	55-8-
rapproved by I diffill reguley (if applicable).			

Prepared by the Engineers Joint Contract Documents Committee and endorsed by the Construction Specifications Institute,
Page 1 of 2

Lee Gallentine

From: Jacob Handsaker < jacobhandsaker@handsonexc.com>

Sent: Saturday, November 06, 2021 7:48 AM

To: Lee Gallentine; dsmith@hardincountyia.gov

Subject: DD 128

Attachments: DD128 Tile Map 11.5.21.pdf; DD 128 INV 3294 11.5.21.pdf

Lee,

Attached is a map and invoice for what we did on DD128. I billed it out as T&M instead of per foot. As we discussed during the project there are a lot of willow trees currently growing around the intake of the tile main line. We pulled a lot of them out with the excavator when we were digging the connections but there are still a fair amount of them further down the line as well. The tile was plowed in with a minimum depth of 3.75′. There was one spot where we hit some rocks and concrete but we cleaned up the rocks and removed them from the trench. As it was plowed in the slope ranged from 0.2% to 3.06%. We tracked the trench down to make sure there was on concern for the horses and removed all tree and rock debris we found in the waterway. There are several areas out there that have been used as trash burn piles. There are metal items and un-burnt trash remaining. Please let me know what you think but as far as I'm concerned this project is complete, any further maintenance due to trees or debris left by the owner are of his concern and not our responsibility to clean up.

Thank you,

Jacob W. Handsaker

Hands On Excavating LLC Handsaker Agriculture Inc. Radcliffe, Iowa 50230 C. 515-460-6294 O. 515-899-2394

F. 1-800-852-8337

E. jacobhandsaker@handsonexc.com

www.handsonexcavating.com

Is there anything more that I can do for just you?

NOTICE: This E-mail (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §Â§2510-2521, and is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, and then delete it. Thank you.

Hands On Excavating LLC

3305 Ziegler Ave Radcliffe, Ia 50230

Bill To

Hardin County Drainage Clerk 1215 Edgington Ave, Suite 1 Eldora, IA 50627

Invoice

Date	Invoice #
11/6/2021	3294
Due Date	
11/21/2021	

Project Description	
DD 128 Completion	

Tax ID#	Terms
27-2399255	Net 15

Description	Quantity	Rate	Amount
Mobilization		500.00	500.00
Link Belt 3400 Hours installing intake, remove	ving debris, 1	190.00	2,280.00
connecting tiles, installing top end drain.			
Man Labor Tile installation	1	35.00	385.00
6" Tile installed (Plowed)	77	2.75	2,136.75
Tile Connections		50.00	50.00
6" Intake Set		120.00	120.00
6" Dual Wall Tile	5	2.90	145.00
6" Wye		10.72	10.72

Thank you for the opportunity to work with you. We look forward to earning your business in the future. Interest at the rate of 1.5% applied from due date until paid. Customer agrees to pay all costs, including attorney fees, of collecting any balances more than 90 days late.

Total	\$5,627.47
Payments/Credits	\$0.00
Balance Due	\$5,627.47

Phone #	Phone # Web Site E-mail					
515 899 2394	www.handsonexcavating.com	handsonexc@gmail.com				

DD 128



Thank you for this opportunity to work with you. We appreciate your business and look forward to working to earn it again in the future. If there are any questions or concerns please contact

Thank you again for your business. Sincerely,

Jacob W. Handsaker Cell: 515.460.6294 www.handsonexcavating.com

CP = Concrete Tile HDPE = Plastic Tile VCP = Clay Tile HANDS ON

6" HDPE 2019 629.02 ft 6" HDPE 2021 777.63 ft 8" DW HDPE 2019 831.64 ft



January 6, 2022

Drainage District Trustees 1215 Edgington Ave, Suite 1 Eldora, IA 50627

RE: Repairs to DD 128

Project Completion Letter

Dear Trustees:

Hand On Excavating has completed the work required by the plans and specifications of the above referenced project including removals, replacements, and repairs as shown/included on the plan sheets, Change Orders Nos. 1 through 5, and Pay Estimate No. 7. For reference, we have already transmitted to your file copies of the lien waivers from suppliers and subcontractors that Hands On submitted to us.

To the best of my knowledge, Hands On has completed their work on the above referenced project in general accordance with the plans, specifications, Change Orders, District Trustees direction, and field instructions. The majority of this is documented in the construction observation report and pictures books what we have generated (to be transmitted under a separate cover). Therefore, I recommend that the Drainage District contact the landowners where the construction occurred to verify whether they have any damage claims to be filed. Barring none, I recommend that the District Trustees accept their portion of the above referenced project as complete and authorize final payment (including release of retainage) to the Contractor in the amount of \$14,619.32 per the attached final pay estimate. Also, please note that this is the last remaining project on the contract with Hands On, so that contract should be completed pending your acceptance.

If you have any questions with regards to the items in this letter, please contact me by phone at 641-847-3273 or by email at LGallentine@cgaconsultants.com.

Sincerely,

Lee Gallentine, PE & PLS

Clapsaddle-Garber Associates

PAY ESTIMATE NO. 7 (FINAL)

Repairs To Big 4, DD 41, 77, 123, 128, and 143 PROJECT NUMBER 6771.2 12/17/2021

CONTRACTOR
Hands On Excavating, LLC
3305 Ziegler Ave.
Radcliffe, Iowa 50230

OWNER Hardin County Trustees 1215 Edgington Ave., Ste 1 Eldora, Iowa 50627 ENGINEER Clapsaddle-Garber Associates 739 Park Avenue Ackley, Iowa 50601

BIG 4 D	OIVISION BID ITEMS						
Item		Estimated	** *	Installed	Unit		Extended
<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	Quantity	<u>Price</u>		<u>Price</u>
1	Main Open Ditch Slough Grading	0.2	AC	0.2	\$ 6,800.00	\$	1,360.00
2	Main Open Ditch Bank Grading	80	LF	80	\$ 19.25	\$	1,540.00
3	Surface Drain Cleanout	3	EA	3	\$ 800.00	\$	2,400.00
4	Surface Drain Inlet Grading	3	LOC	3	\$ 320.00	\$	960.00
5	Riprap	380	TN	363.3	\$ 38.46	\$	13,972.52
6	Surface Drain (36")	40	LF	80	\$ 87.50		7,000.00
7	Surface Drain Removal	1	LOC	1	\$ 850.00	\$	850.00
8	Permanent Seeding	0.5	AC	1	\$ 2,556.00	\$	2,556.00
9	Seeding Warranty	1	LS	2	\$ 750.00	\$	1,500.00
DD 41 I	DIVISION ALTERNATE BID						
101AL	24" Ø CMP Tile Outlet	40	LF	40	\$ 62.75	\$	2,510.00
102AL	18" Ø RCP Tile	320	LF	336	\$ 52.81	\$	17,744.16
103AL	Type PC-2 Concrete Collar	6	EA	8	\$ 350.00	\$	2,800.00
104AL	Private Tile Connection	2	EA	4	\$ 250.00		1,000.00
105AL	Fence Removal and Replacement	1	LS	1	\$ 500.00		500.00
106AL	Tree Removal	1	LS	1	\$ 300.00		300.00
107AL	Tile Removal	360	LF	376	\$ 2.00		752.00
108AL	Riprap	50	TN	43.52	\$ 45.11	\$	1,963.19
109AL	Permanent Seeding	0.3	AC	0.04	\$ 1,500.00		60.00
110AL	Seeding Warranty	1	LS	1	\$ 500.00	\$	500.00
DD 77 I	DIVISION BID						
*201rev	18" Ø RCP Tile	970	LF	975	\$ 48.79	\$	47,570.25
*202rev	Type PC-2 Concrete Collar	2	EA	2	\$ 350.00	\$	700.00
*203rev	Private Tile Connection	4	EA	2	\$ 250.00	\$	500.00
*204rev	Tile Removal (Crush in-place)	860	LF	860	\$ 1.00		860.00
*205rev	Tile Removal (Offsite Disposal)	110	LF	110	\$ 1.00	\$	110.00
	• /						
DD 123	DIVISION BID_						
301	36" Ø RCP Tile	205	LF	216	\$ 92.20	\$	19,915.20
302	Type PC-2 Concrete Collar	8	EA	8	\$ 350.00	_	2,800.00
303	Tile Removal	205	LF	216	\$ 5.00		1,080.00
505	The Removal	203	LI	210	Ψ 5.00	Ψ	1,000.00



DD 128	DIVISION BID							
**401	8" Ø HDPE FLEX Dual Wall Tile w/o Rock Bedding	985	LF	852	\$	10.01	\$	8,528.52
402	8" Ø HDPE Dual Wall Wye	1	EA	1	\$	60.00	\$	60.00
403	8" Ø x 22½° HDPE Dual Wall Bend	1	EA		\$	40.00	\$	-
404	Type PC-2 Concrete Collar	2	EA	2	\$	350.00	\$	700.00
405	Private Tile Connection	3	EA	3	\$	350.00	\$	1,050.00
406	15" Ø Hickenbottom Intake	1	EA	1	\$	650.00	\$	650.00
407	8" Ø Hickenbottom Intake	1	EA	1	S	150.00	\$	150.00
408	Top Soil Salvage, Stockpile, and Respread	1	LS	1	\$	3,200.00	\$	3,200.00
409	Channel Grading (Fill and Borrow)	12	STA	12	S	450.00	\$	5,400.00
410	Debris, Junk, and Rubble Removal	12	STA	12	\$	200.00	\$	2,400.00
411	Intake Removal	1	EA		\$	300.00	S	-
412	Tile Removal	20	LF	20	\$	5.00	\$	100.00
413	Tree Removal	12	STA	12	\$	400.00	\$	4,800.00
414	Permanent Seeding	0.9	AC	0.3	\$	1,500.00	\$	450.00
415	Seeding Warranty	1	LS	0.3	\$	500.00	\$	150.00
416^	6" Ø Single Dual Wall (private in middle of channel)	1	LS	1	\$	5,627.47	\$	5,627.47
410	O D Single Subt (pro-							
	DWITOYON BACK DEN							
	DIVISION BASE BID	20	LF	20	\$	56.32	\$	1,126.40
501	18" Ø RCP Tile	180	LF	192	\$	33.75	\$	6,480.00
502	15" Ø RCP Tile	4	EA	4	\$	350.00	\$	1,400.00
503	Type PC-2 Concrete Collar	3	EA		\$	350.00	\$	1,100.00
504	Private Tile Connection	120	SY	33.33	-\$	90.70	\$	3,023.03
505	6" ACC Pavement	120	SY	33.33	\$	3.84	\$	127.99
506	Pavement Removal	200	LF	212	\$	2.00	\$	424.00
507	Tile Removal		LS	1	\$	200.00	\$	200.00
508	Tree Removal	1						225.00
509	Permanent Seeding	0.2	AC	0.15		1,500.00	\$	1,062,50
510	Seeding Warranty	1	LS	1	-	1,062.50	<u>\$</u>	200.00
511	Traffic Control	1	LS	1	\$	200.00	>	200.00
I have r	reviewed the work claimed to be	TOT	AL WO	RK COMP				181,338.22
comple	ted by the Contractor as reflected					TENTION		
above a	and recommend payment of \$ 14,619.32		AMOU	NT DUE L	ESS RI	ETENTION	1 \$	181,338.22
	Contractor.			PRI	EVIOU	ISLY PAII	\$	166,718.90
1	10/Whan							
	Lee Gallentine, Project Engineer		AM	OUNT DU	EATT	HIS TIME	\$	14,619.32
1	en All							
da	Bhl buchohn							
1	Contractor							

^{* -} Approved as part of Change Order #1

** - Approved as part of Change Order #3

Note: For pay estimate #1, \$25,262.41 was for DD 41, \$44,996.67 was for DD 77, and \$7,734.66 was for DD 143.

For pay estimate #2, \$21, 678.12 was for DD 123.

For pay estimate #3, \$28,924.66 was for Big 4.

For pay estimate #4, \$11,824.67 was for DD 128.

For pay estimate #5, \$6,822.00 was for DD 128 and \$4,209.68 was for DD 143.

For pay estimate #6, \$2,324.59 was for DD 143, \$2,117.08 was for DD 123, \$4,743.58 was for DD 77,

\$2,866.93 was for DD 41, and \$3,213.85 was for Big 4.

For pay estimate #7, \$14,619.32 was for DD 128.



^{^ -} Approved as part of Change Order #5